

## A Study on the Algae in Fish Ponds and Their Seasonal Variations

**Bulent SEN and Feray SONMEZ**

Fırat University, Fisheries Faculty, Department of Basic Aquatic Sciences,  
23119, Elazig, TURKEY  
bsen@firat.edu.tr

**Abstract:** In this study, the algae occurring in fish ponds of a fish production center were investigated with their seasonal variations for a period of a year. The algal flora of the ponds consisted of Bacillariophyta (64 taxa), Chlorophyta (14 taxa), Cyanophyta (9 taxa), Euglenophyta (6 taxa). The algae constituted pelagic and epilithic communities in the ponds. The diatoms were the most noticeable algae in both communities and *Nitzschia* spp. were the most conspicuous algae followed by *Navicula* spp. and *Cymbella* spp. with respect to the frequency of occurrence and number of individuals in all ponds. The diatoms showed their best growths in winter and spring whilst they occurred in low numbers in the other seasons. Chlorophyta and Cyanophyta were other groups of algae in fish ponds occurring generally in summer and autumn.

**Keywords:** Algae, Seasonal Variations, Fish Ponds.

### Balık Havuzlarındaki Algler ve Mevsimsel Değişimleri Üzerine Bir Çalışma

**Özet:** Bu çalışmada, Cip Balık Üretim Merkezi'ndeki balık havuzlarında ortaya çıkan algler ve mevsimsel değişimleri bir yıl süresince araştırılmıştır. Havuzların alg florası Bacillariophyta (64 taxa), Chlorophyta (14 taxa), Cyanophyta (9 taxa) ve Euglenophyta (6 taxa)'dan ibaret olmuştur. Havuzlarda pelajik ve epilithic komüniteler oluşmuştur. Her iki komünite içerisinde diyatomeler en çok kaydedilen algler olmuştur ve bütün havuzlarda ortaya çıkış sıklığı ve birey sayıları bakımından *Nitzschia* spp. üyeleri en göze çarpan algler olurken onları sırasıyla *Navicula* spp. ve *Cymbella* spp. üyeleri takip etmiştir. Diyatomeler, diğer mevsimlerde düşük sayılarda ortaya çıkarken en iyi gelişmelerini kış ve ilkbaharda gerçekleştirmiştir. Balık havuzlarındaki diğer alg grupları Chlorophyta ve Cyanophyta genellikle yaz ve sonbaharda ortaya çıkmıştır.

**Anahtar Kelimeler:** Algler, Mevsimsel Değişimler, Balık Havuzları.

#### 1. Introduction

The algae which occur in fish production ponds is extremely important since they directly affect the properties of water quality such as colour, smell, taste, dissolved oxygen, turbidity [1]. Studies associated with algae in fish production ponds is concerned with various fields as live food, toxic algae and algal blooms. Algal blooms in commercial fish production ponds have extensively been studied and blue-green algae are reported to be most nuisance in fish ponds [2]. Some studies were concerned with fertilization and its effect on the algae in fish ponds [1] and others related to water quality control [3].

The purpose of the present study is to determine the species composition of algae and their seasonal variations in fish ponds of a fish production center. In addition, the productivity of fish ponds is also studied based on changes in chlorophyll *a* concentration.

#### 2. Material and Method

The Cip Fish Production Center was built on a 17500 m<sup>2</sup> area near Cip Dam Lake (Elazig, Türkiye). There are two concrete ponds for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum) and two soil ponds for carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.) production. Ponds for Rainbow trout (16.5 m x 4.3 m x 1.10 m, in length, width and depth, respectively) were coded as P1, P2 and codes of P3 and P4 were assigned for carp ponds (30 m x 12.75 m x 1 m, in length, width and depth, respectively) for this study.

Algae and water samples were collected monthly intervals between September 1994 and August 1995. Algal samples were collected using a plankton net and Nansen Water Bottle for qualitative and quantitative examination. The epilithic algae were scrapped from the concrete walls and stones on the bottom of the soil ponds. The relative abundance method were applied for individual numbers of pelagic and epilithic algae and the results were expressed as “% organism”

[4] since it was hard to identify the live cells during counting process.

The water temperature, light transparency, pH of the pond's water were measured directly by means of a thermometer, a Secchii Disc, a pH meter and respectively. Organic matters were determined through titration analysis [5]. Spectrophotometric method was employed for the analysis of sulfate, silica, nitrate and orthophosphate and chlorophyll *a*[5].

### 3. Results

The monthly variations of physical and chemical characteristics of pond waters were given in Table 1.

As shown in Table1, the pond water is highly alkaline. During the research, pH values of the pond's water were generally ranged between 7.5 and 8.5. These values are suitable for both trout and carp production [1]. However, higher pH values ( above 9.0 ) were also occasionally measured in carp ponds.

The amount of silica (SiO<sub>2</sub>) in fish ponds was quite low never exceeding 0.3 mg/L. Minimum and maximum concentrations for orthophosphate and nitrate were 0.001-0.06 mg/L and 0.02-1.17 mg/L respectively. However sulfate concentration was higher than other dissolved substances in the ponds(Table 1).

**Table 1.** The monthly changes of physical and chemical properties of pond waters

Months	Ponds	Secchii depth (cm)	Temperature (°C)	pH	Organic Matter (mg/L)	Silica (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)	Nitrate (mg/L)	Ortho Phosphate (mg/L)
1994 Sept.	P1	20	21.0	7.8	4.2	0.10	24.3	0.18	0.011
	P2	23	20.5	7.9	2.7	0.08	24.7	0.20	0.020
	P3	29	23.5	8.3	2.3	0.08	23.4	0.07	0.007
	P4	31	23.5	8.3	3.0	0.13	24.4	0.07	0.007
Oct.	P1	23	15.0	8.1	5.4	0.11	37.1	0.00	0.003
	P2	23	15.0	8.0	5.4	0.11	32.1	0.00	0.004
	P3	48	16.0	8.4	5.4	0.05	35.1	0.91	0.003
	P4	48	16.0	8.5	5.4	0.09	29.4	0.14	0.003
Nov.	P1	30	11.0	8.1	5.0	0.11	43.5	0.29	0.007
	P2	28	11.0	8.1	4.5	0.10	42.0	0.14	0.009
	P3	51	11.5	8.3	3.5	0.08	37.4	0.08	0.010
	P4	56	11.5	8.3	4.0	0.07	36.5	0.08	0.009
Dec.	P1	45	3.5	8.3	3.9	0.08	42.6	0.57	0.007
	P2	42	3.5	8.4	3.9	0.06	43.2	0.66	0.003
	P3	76	3.3	8.5	3.7	0.06	42.0	0.45	0.004
	P4	76	3.3	8.6	4.5	0.08	43.0	0.49	0.006
1995 Jan.	P1	40	3.8	8.4	4.4	0.08	62.0	0.49	0.060
	P2	41	3.8	8.5	3.9	0.05	56.6	0.60	0.040
	P3	57	4.0	8.6	2.5	0.10	55.8	0.77	0.060
	P4	58	4.0	8.7	2.1	0.17	66.2	0.73	0.015
Feb.	P1	38	6.0	8.7	3.6	0.16	41.5	1.02	0.005
	P2	39	5.8	8.7	3.4	0.16	39.5	0.98	0.020
	P3	40	6.0	8.8	3.4	0.13	36.2	0.90	0.010
	P4	44	6.0	8.8	3.4	0.10	32.9	0.85	0.015
Mar.	P1	35	9.0	8.9	5.0	0.03	58.3	0.69	0.020
	P2	37	9.0	8.8	7.0	0.02	30.0	0.73	0.009
	P3	38	9.5	9.1	3.2	0.01	30.0	0.52	0.020
	P4	39	9.5	9.1	4.0	0.01	29.2	0.58	0.000
Apr.	P1	28	10.5	8.4	3.7	0.21	40.5	0.75	0.005
	P2	28	10.5	8.6	3.0	0.20	41.1	0.66	0.000
	P3	10	10.5	9.4	4.1	0.17	39.3	0.24	0.000
	P4	33	10.5	8.8	2.8	0.23	38.3	0.41	0.000
May	P1	41	14.5	7.7	1.8	0.12	26.0	0.53	0.015
	P2	43	16.0	7.6	1.8	0.08	27.6	0.44	0.015
	P3	27	30.0	9.4	6.7	0.13	20.8	0.05	0.000
	P4	36	17.0	8.0	1.4	0.11	24.8	0.28	0.000

**Table 1.** (Continued)

Months	Ponds	Sechii depth (cm)	Temperature (°C)	pH	Organic Matter (mg/L)	Silica (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)	Nitrate (mg/L)	Ortho Phosphate (mg/L)
June	P1	64	14.0	7.5	1.6	0.10	21.6	0.17	0.000
	P2	64	14.0	7.5	0.6	0.06	26.6	0.08	0.000
	P3	56	17.0	9.4	7.8	0.10	18.9	0.06	0.000
	P4	70	18.5	8.4	0.8	0.09	29.0	0.10	0.000
July	P1	58	16.5	7.6	4.7	0.08	21.4	0.07	0.030
	P2	59	17.0	7.6	2.7	0.10	23.6	0.00	0.030
	P3	57	20.0	9.1	11.5	0.15	23.4	0.00	0.025
	P4	90	20.0	7.8	3.9	0.17	24.4	0.00	0.015
Aug.	P1	44	21.0	7.7	4.5	0.09	22.6	0.01	0.000
	P2	39	21.5	7.6	5.0	0.07	26.2	0.00	0.000
	P3	45	25.0	8.0	5.0	0.12	20.4	0.00	0.000
	P4	39	25.5	8.5	6.2	0.10	25.9	0.00	0.005

The algae occurred as pelagic and epilithic forms in the ponds, which were listed alphabetically in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Algae recorded in fish ponds

Taxon	P1P	P2P	P2E	P3P	P3E	P4P
<b>PIP: P1 Pelagic</b>						
<b>P2P: P2 Pelagic</b>						
<b>P2E: P2 Epilithic</b>						
<b>P3P: P3 Pelagic</b>						
<b>P3E: P3 Epilithic</b>						
<b>P4P: P4 Pelagic</b>						
<b>BACILLARIOPHYTA</b>						
<b>Centrales</b>						
<i>Cyclotella comta</i> (Ehr.)Kütz.	+	+	+	-	-	+
<i>Cyclotella meneghiniana</i> Kütz.	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Cyclotella ocellata</i> Pantocsek	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Ellerbeckia arenaria</i> (Moore) Crawford	+	+	+	-	-	-
<b>Pennales</b>						
<i>Achnanthes minutissima</i> Kütz	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>A. minutissima</i> var. <i>affinis</i> (Grun.)Lange-Bertalot	+	+	-	-	+	-
<i>Amphora libyca</i> Ehrenberg	+	+	+	-	+	+
<i>Caloneis silicula</i> (Ehrenberg) Cleve	-	-	+	-	+	-
<i>Cocconeis fluviatilis</i> Wallace	+	-	+	+	-	+
<i>Cocconeis pediculus</i> Ehr.	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Cocconeis placentula</i> Ehr.	+	+	+	-	+	+
<i>Cocconeis placentula</i> v. <i>lineata</i> (Ehr.)Van Heurck	+	-	-	+	-	+
<i>Cymatopleura elliptica</i> (Brébisson)W.Smith	-	-	+	-	-	+
<i>Cymatopleura solea</i> (Brébisson)W.Smith	+	+	+	-	-	+
<i>Cymbella affinis</i> Kütz.	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Cymbella cistula</i> Ehrenberg Kirchner	+	-	+	+	-	+
<i>Cymbella cymbiformis</i> Agardh	-	+	+	+	-	+
<i>Cymbella helvetica</i> Kütz.	+	-	+	+	+	+
<i>Cymbella microcephala</i> Grun.	-	+	+	+	-	+
<i>Cymbella obtusiuscula</i> (Kütz.) Grun.	+	-	+	+	+	-
<i>Cymbella parva</i> (W.Smith.) Cl.	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Cymbella prostrata</i> (Berkeley)Cl.	+	+	+	-	+	+
<i>Cymbella prostrata</i> v. <i>auerswaldii</i> (R.)Reimer	+	+	+	-	+	+
<i>Diatoma tenuis</i> Agardh	+	+	+	+	+	+

**Table 2.** (Continued)

<i>Diatoma vulgare</i> Bory	+	+	+	-	+	+
<i>Fragilaria bicapitata</i> A.Mayer	+	+	+	+	-	+
<i>Fragilaria brevistriata</i> Grunow in van Heurck	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Fragilaria delicatissima</i> (W.Smith) Lange-Bertalot	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Fragilaria pinnata</i> Ehr.	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Fragilaria radians</i> (Kütz.)Will & Round	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Fragilaria ulna</i> (Nitzsch) Lange-Bertalot	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Gomphonema olivaceum</i> (Hornemann) Brébisson	+	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Gomphonema angustum</i> Kütz.	+	-	+	+	-	-
<i>Gomphonema constrictum</i> var. <i>capitata</i> (Ehr.)Cl.	+	+	+	-	+	+
<i>Gomphonema olivaceum</i> (Hornemann) Brébisson	+	+	+	+	-	+
<i>Gomphonema parvulum</i> (Kützing) Kützing	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Gomphonema truncatum</i> Ehr.	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Gyrosigma acuminatum</i> (Kützing) Rabenhorst	+	+	+	-	+	+
<i>Hantzschia amphioxys</i> (Ehr.) Grun.	-	-	+	+	-	-
<i>Hantzschia spectabilis</i> ( Ehr.) Hustedt	-	-	+	+	-	+
<i>Navicula capitatoradiata</i> Germain	+	+	+	+	-	+
<i>Navicula cryptocephala</i> Kütz.	+	-	+	-	-	+
<i>Navicula cryptotenella</i> (Breb.) Lange-Bertalot	+	+	-	+	+	-
<i>Navicula cuspidata</i> (Kützing) Kützing	-	+	+	-	+	+
<i>Navicula globulifera</i> Hust.	-	-	+	-	-	+
<i>Navicula gregaria</i> Donkin	+	-	+	+	-	+
<i>Navicula pupula</i> Kütz.	-	-	+	-	-	+
<i>Navicula radiosa</i> Kütz.	+	-	+	-	+	-
<i>Navicula rhynchocephala</i> v. <i>amphiceros</i> Kütz.	+	+	-	-	+	+
<i>Nitzschia acicularis</i> (Kützing)W. Smith.	+	-	+	-	-	+
<i>Nitzschia amphibia</i> Grun.	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Nitzschia constricta</i> (Gregory) Grunow	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Nitzschia dissipata</i> (Kütz.) Grun.	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Nitzschia hungarica</i> Grun.	+	-	+	+	-	+
<i>Nitzschia linearis</i> (Agardh)W. Smith.	-	-	+	+	+	+
<i>Nitzschia palea</i> (Kütz.) W. Smith	+	+	+	+	-	+
<i>Nitzschia recta</i> Hantzsch	+	+	+	+	-	+
<i>Nitzschia sigmoidea</i> (Nitzsch) W. Smith	+	-	+	+	+	+
<i>Nitzschia sinuata</i> var. <i>tabellaria</i> (Grunow)Grunow	+	+	+	+	-	+
<i>Nitzschia umbonata</i> (Ehrenberg) Lange-Bertalot	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Rhoicosphenia abbreviata</i> (C.Agardh)Lange-Bertalot	+	-	+	-	+	-
<i>Surirella angusta</i> Kütz.	+	-	+	-	-	+
<i>S. brebissonii</i> v. <i>kuetzingii</i> Krammer&Lange-Bertalot	+	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Synedra ulna</i> var. <i>amphirhynchus</i> (Ehr.) Grun.	+	-	-	-	+	-

**CHLOROPHYTA****Chlorococcales**

<i>Cerasterias staurastroides</i> West&West	+	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Chlamydomonas platyrhyncha</i> Korshikov	-	+	-	+	-	-
<i>Chlorella ellipsoidea</i> Gerneck	-	+	-	-	+	+
<i>Coelastrum microporum</i> Nägeli in A.Braun	+	-	+	+	-	-
<i>Coelastrum reticulatum</i> (P.A.Dangeard) Senn	+	+	-	+	-	+
<i>Dictyosphaerium pulchellum</i> H.C.Wood	-	+	-	-	+	+
<i>Monoraphidium irregulare</i> (G.M.Smith) K-L	+	+	-	+	-	+
<i>Ourococcus bicaudatus</i> Grob.G.M.Smith	+	+	-	-	+	-
<i>Scenedesmus bijuga</i> (Turpin) Lagerheim	+	+	-	+	-	+
<i>Scenedesmus dimorphus</i> (Turpin) Kützing	+	+	-	+	-	+
<i>Scenedesmus quadricauda</i> (Turpin) de Brébisson	+	-	+	+	-	+
<i>Westella linearis</i> G.M.Smith.	+	+	-	-	+	-

**Desmidiiales**

<i>Cosmarium botrytis</i> Meneghini ex Ralfs	-	+	-	+	-	+
--	---	---	---	---	---	---

**Zygnematales**

<i>Spirogyra porticalis</i> (Muell.) Cleve	-	-	-	+	-	+
--	---	---	---	---	---	---

**Table 2.** (Continued)

**CYANOPHYTA**

**Chroococcales**

<i>Merismopedia elegans</i> A.Braun in Kützing	-	+	-	+	-	+
<i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i> (Kütz) Kütz.	+	+	-	-	+	-

**Hormogonales**

<i>Oscillatoria amphibia</i> [C.A.Agardh 1827]Gomont	+	-	+	+	-	+
<i>Oscillatoria beggiatoiformis</i> (Grun.)Gom.	+	-	+	-	+	+
<i>Oscillatoria brevis</i> [Kützing]Gomont	-	+	+	-	-	+
<i>Oscillatoria simplicissima</i> Gomont	+	-	+	-	+	+
<i>Oscillatoria tenuis</i> [C.Agardh]Gomont	+	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Phormidium anomala</i> Rao.	+	+	-	+	-	-
<i>Spirulina princeps</i> (West & West) G.S.West	+	+	-	-	+	+

**EUGLENOPHYTA**

**Euglenales**

<i>Euglena acus</i> Ehrenberg	-	+	+	-	-	+
<i>Euglena gracilis</i> G.A.Klebs	+	-	+	-	+	-
<i>Euglena proxima</i> P.A.Dangeard	+	-	-	+	-	+
<i>Phacus chloroplastes</i> Prescott	+	+	+	-	-	-
<i>Phacus Nordstedtii</i> Lemmermann	+	+	-	-	+	+
<i>Phacus orbicularis</i> K.Hübner	+	-	+	-	+	-

Changes of relative abundance (%) of conspicuous diatoms in pelagic and epilithic communities in each fish pond were given in separate tables (Tables 3–8).

Of all, *Cyclotella meneghiniana* and *C. ocellata* were the most important pelagic algae in the P1 pond with respect to frequency of

occurrence and relative abundance (Table 3). These diatoms existed in the pond water throughout the year except June. Although *Gomphonema constrictum* var. *capitata* occurred on less occasions, its abundance in July (41 %) was noticeable.

**Table 3.** The monthly changes in relative abundance (%) of conspicuous diatoms in pelagic community of the pond P1

	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.
<i>Cyclotella meneghiniana</i>	21	26	19	27	36	2	9	67	12	-	14	2
<i>Cyclotella ocellata</i>	41	40	36	26	23	11	10	28	7	-	12	19
<i>Achnanthes minutissima</i>	-	4	12	21	38	29	2	2	5	3	2	-
<i>Gomphonema cons. var. capitata</i>	-	-	-	13	2	10	9	-	1	-	41	-
<i>Nitzschia acicularis</i>	14	8	31	24	14	-	2	1	-	2	-	-

In the pond P2, *Cyclotella meneghiniana*, *C. ocellata*, *Achnanthes minutissima* and *Nitzschia acicularis* were the most conspicuous algae in the pelagic community (Table 4) as they were in the pond P1). In this pond, *Gomphonema*

*truncatum* was significant with its high relative abundance (60 %) in February. This diatom was recorded more frequently in spring than other seasons.

**Table 4.** The monthly changes in relative abundance (%) of conspicuous pelagic diatom taxa in the pond P2

	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.
<i>Cyclotella meneghiniana</i>	33	4	36	12	10	-	10	74	13	20	4	36
<i>Cyclotella ocellata</i>	13	6	22	19	15	-	8	3	33	-	22	-
<i>Achnanthes minutissima</i>	14	4	8	38	-	14	8	7	14	-	4	-
<i>Gomphonema truncatum</i>	-	12	-	2	-	60	1	3	8	20	-	3
<i>Nitzschia acicularis</i>	30	-	6	4	15	-	-	-	-	-	4	-

The diatoms were recorded as the most important algae in the epilithic community of the pond P2 (Table 5) and *Achnanthes minutissima* was the most conspicuous with its high relative abundance, particularly in the autumn and spring. The occurrence of *Cymbella affinis* with

56 % relative abundance in June was noticeable. Although *Cyclotella* spp., were conspicuous in pelagic community, they were not abundant in the epilithic flora; their relative abundance never exceeded 20 %.

**Table 5.** The monthly changes in relative abundance (%) of conspicuous epilithic diatom taxa in the pond P2

	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.
<i>Cyclotella ocellata</i>	-	13	2	20	-	-	-	8	18	-	4	17
<i>Achnanthes minutissima</i>	21	33	33	21	11	20	-	33	22	-	3	3
<i>Cymbella affinis</i>	-	2	-	5	1	18	-	4	4	56	7	6
<i>Gomphonema truncatum</i>	4	2	2	-	2	12	2	2	12	2	-	8
<i>Navicula capitatoradiata</i>	-	-	4	11	4	3	4	6	4	4	1	3

Differing from other ponds, *Fragilaria bicapitata* was more significant in the pelagic community in pond P3 in terms of the frequency of occurrence and relative abundance (Table 6). This diatom was recorded in high cell numbers almost in all seasons. However, the relative abundance of the diatom was higher in the summer months than the other seasons.

*Cyclotella meneghiniana*, *C. ocellata* and *Achnanthes minutissima* were other noticeable pelagic algae in the pond P3 as they were recorded in the ponds of P1 and P2. *Cyclotella meneghiniana* and *C. ocellata* grew better in the pelagic community in the period of September-December whilst *Achnanthes minutissima* was significant in the period of December-March.

**Table 6.** The monthly changes in relative abundance (%) of conspicuous pelagic diatom taxa in the pond P3

	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.
<i>Cyclotella meneghiniana</i>	10	20	20	16	1	2	2	-	1	-	2	-
<i>Cyclotella ocellata</i>	25	24	23	40	8	-	4	1	1	-	3	-
<i>Achnanthes minutissima</i>	-	-	9	38	14	27	28	8	6	-	-	-
<i>Fragilaria bicapitata</i>	-	51	8	-	32	11	13	22	25	61	55	39
<i>Fragilaria radians</i>	-	-	-	-	15	20	7	35	2	-	4	2

Among the epilithic algae of the pond P3, *Achnanthes minutissima*, *Cymbella affinis*, *Fragilaria pinnata* and *Nitzschia sinuata* var. *tabellaria* were significant with their high

relative abundance in October, in April, in July and in May respectively (Table 7). These diatoms grew better during spring and summer.

**Table 7.** The monthly changes of relative abundance (%) of conspicuous epilithic diatom taxa in the pond P3

	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.
<i>Achnanthes minutissima</i>	28	36	13	11	11	14	15	1	1	-	-	8
<i>Cymbella affinis</i>	5	-	4	4	-	6	-	34	9	19	2	-
<i>Fragilaria bicapitata</i>	-	12	3	-	-	13	3	11	5	26	35	12
<i>Fragilaria pinnata</i>	12	-	6	16	-	6	4	20	14	19	55	-
<i>Nitzschia sinuata</i> v. <i>tabellaria</i>	-	7	4	-	-	5	11	8	35	1	2	8

*Cyclotella meneghiniana* and *C. ocellata* were recorded as the most important pelagic algae in the pond P4 with respect to frequency of occurrence and relative abundance (Table 8).

*Fragilaria delicatissima* and *F. ulna* were also significant with their high relative abundance in the spring months.

**Table 8.** The monthly changes of relative abundance (%) of conspicuous pelagic diatom taxa in the pond P4

	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.
<i>Cyclotella meneghiniana</i>	47	18	21	27	5	-	-	12	45	-	6	25
<i>Cyclotella ocellata</i>	11	9	28	28	5	-	14	11	-	-	11	-
<i>Achnanthes minutissima</i>	1	3	4	-	5	-	14	12	-	14	-	-
<i>Fragilaria delicatissima</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	4	8	-
<i>Fragilaria ulna</i>	-	12	6	-	-	-	14	27	-	-	-	-

#### 4. Discussion

This study revealed the existence of pelagic and epilithic algal communities in the fish ponds studied. Both communities constituted by algae belonging to Bacillariophyta, Chlorophyta, Cyanophyta and Euglenophyta. A total of 93 taxa were recorded and Bacillariophyta was the richest in species composition with 64 taxa. Bacillariophyta was followed by Chlorophyta (14 taxa), Cyanophyta (9 taxa), Euglenophyta (6 taxa). A previous study conducted in the concrete ponds [6] also showed the species richness of diatoms among other algae.

Of all the algae, *Nitzschia* (11 taxa), *Navicula* (9 taxa) and *Cymbella* (9 taxa) were the richest in species number in both pelagic and epilithic communities. However *Nitzschia*, *Navicula* and *Cymbella* have been recorded as the genera represented with most numerous species in many studies carried out in the same region [7-11]. These findings may show that the species belonging to *Nitzschia*, *Navicula* and *Cymbella* are more cosmopolitan than other diatoms in terms of expansion. *Ellerbeckia*, *Amphora*, *Caloneis*, *Gomphoneis*, *Gyrosigma*, *Rhoicosphenia* and *Synedra* were noticeable being

represented with only one species during the study.

During the study, *Cyclotella ocellata* and *Fragilaria ulna* were more significant than other diatoms and algae since they were recorded in both epilithic and pelagic communities with larger populations. A similar finding for these algae was also previously reported [6]. These findings may indicate that, these diatoms have a larger ecological tolerance against the possible changes of conditions in the ponds across the year. This may be particularly true for *Cyclotella ocellata* and *Fragilaria ulna*, which appeared with high cell numbers in two different studies performed in the same fish ponds at a six year interval.

In the ponds, diatoms were the dominant algae and they had their best growth in spring and fall, while winter and summer periods mostly coincided with lower cell numbers. Water temperature and light have been reported as the most effective factors on seasonal developments of algae; however, other factors also affected the growth of algae [12]. The relation between the water temperature of the fish ponds and algal growth in the present supported this generalization since the increasing water

temperatures supported the growth of diatoms. It has been stated that the amount of silica decreases while diatoms increase [12]. This study, supported this finding since the decrease in silica concentration usually coincided with the growth period of the diatoms.

Chlorophyta and Cyanophyta were other important algal groups in the ponds. Although green algae have been recorded in samples in every season, they were richer in species composition in summer and winter when diatoms were represented with less species. *Scenedesmus* (3 taxa) and *Coelastrum* (2 taxa) were the richest genera in terms of species number. Although *Dictyosphaerium* and *Chlorella* were represented with only one species, they were present in the ponds almost throughout the study. *Cosmarium* appeared in the ponds only in summer months.

*Euglena* has been the most important genus in Euglenophyta as being represented with 9 taxa. The existence of Euglenophyta in water is a proof for the richness of water with organic substances [13]. This finding was supported with the present study since *Euglena* species showed better growth in summer and autumn when the concentration of organic matter in the pond water was higher in the ponds.

*Oscillatoria* was the richest blue-green algal genus in species composition. The occurrence of the blue-green algae, especially *Microcystis aeruginosa*, in summer and autumn was noticeable, thus supporting the Round [14] view's that the blue-green algae *Microcystis*, *Anabaena*, *Aphanizomenon*, *Lyngbya* and *Oscillatoria* grow better and are more common especially in summer months.

Blue-green algae play an important role not only with their property of growing very fast but also with their effects on aquatic environment and on other organisms in fish ponds. It has been recorded in many studies that blue-green algae give out various metabolic substances in water [15-17]. Some of blue-green algae have the property of secreting toxin; *Anacystis*

(*Microcystis*), *Anabaena*, *Aphanizomenon*, *Coelosphaerium*, *Gloeotrichia*, *Nodularia*, *Oscillatoria* and *Rivularia* are the known toxic blue-green algae [18]. Some of these algae (such as *Microcystis*, *Coelosphaerium*) cause the death of zooplankters such as *Daphnia*, *Cyclops*, *Eudiaptomus* [19-21]; whereas other toxic blue-green algae have harmful effects on fish. Lefevre et al., [22] have reported that carp and crane fish died because of the toxin secreting property of these algae in the Moroccan Lake, where all four species of *Microcystis* grow well. According to Gorham [23], the most harmful one among all toxic blue-green algae was *Microcystis aeruginosa*. All the fish died right after the period when *Microcystis aeruginosa* increased in an extreme level in one of the fish ponds establishment in France. However in the present study *Microcystis aeruginosa* appeared only in trout ponds with low cell numbers. However, there has not been any change in the water quality of the pond, such as an increase in concentration of ammonia, after the growth period of *M. aeruginosa*. This finding may show that *M. aeruginosa* cannot be regarded as nuisance alga in the studied fish ponds for the time being. However growth of this alga should be monitored regularly in the ponds.

The average chlorophyll *a* concentration in ponds was 5 µg/L indicating the low algal growth. However low chlorophyll *a* concentrations were reported for unfertilized fish ponds [24-26]. This may be accepted as a desirable condition for rainbow trout production since this can give rise to least turbidity in the ponds. However, chlorophyll *a* concentration are needed to be increased for carp production through fertilization.

It may be worth to mention that the diatom, *Ellerbeckia arenaria* was recorded for the first time with this study in the freshwater ecosystems within the Province of Elazig. *E. arenaria* was recorded both as epilithic and pelagic form only in August.

## 5. References

1. Boyd, C. E. (1982). Water Quality Management for Pond Fish Culture. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam, Oxford, New York, 318 pp.
2. Brunson, M. W., Lutz, C. G. & Durborow, R. M. (1994). Algae Blooms in Commercial Fish Production Ponds. Southern Regional Aquaculture Center, Publication, No: 466.

3. Tebbutt, T. H. Y. (1999). Principles of Water Quality Control. Butterworth Heineman Publishing Ltd., 280 pp.
4. Sladeckova, A. (1962). Limnological investigation methods for the periphyton ("aufwuchs") community. Bot. Rev. **28**: 286-350.
5. APHA, (1985). Standart Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. American Public Health Association, Washington, 1268 pp.
6. Sen, B. & Caglar, M. (1994). Cip Balık Üretim ve Yetiştirme Tesisi'ne Ait Balık Havuzları'ndaki Diyatome Çoğalmaları. İstanbul Üniversitesi, Su Ürünleri Dergisi, **8**(1-2), 67-81.
7. Sen, B. & Cetin, A. K. (1988). Seasonal Dynamics of Benthic Diatoms in a Reservoir in South-East Turkey. In Proceedings of the 10<sup>th</sup> International Diatom Symposium, Joensuu, Finland. Article number: **52**, 505-511.
8. Sen, B. (1988). Hazar Gölü (Elazığ) Florası Mevsimsel Değişimleri Üzerine Gözlemler. Kısım 1. Littoral Bölge. IX. Ulusal Biyol. Kong. Bil. K. 289-298.
9. Sen, B. & Nacar, V. (1992). Gübre Fabrikası (Sivrice, Elazığ) Atıklarının Karıştığı Toprak Bir Kanal İçindeki Alg Florasına Ait Bulgular. İstanbul Üniversitesi, Su Ürünleri Dergisi, **1**, 143-153.
10. Sen, B. & Pala, G. (2001). Dipsiz Göl ve Kırk Gözeler Kaynak Sularında Ortaya Çıkan Diyatomeler ve Mevsimsel Değişimleri. İstanbul Üniversitesi, Su Ürünleri Dergisi, **12**, 1-13.
11. Alp, M. T. (1996). Cip Baraj Gölü (Setin Ön Kısmı) Planktonik Alglerinin Araştırılması. F.Ü. Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Yüksek Lisans Tezi, Elazığ.
12. Lund, J. W. G. (1965). The Ecology of the Freshwater Phytoplankton, *Biological Reviews*. **40**, 231-293.
13. Palmer, C. M. (1980). Algae and Water Pollution, Castle House Pub. Ltd. New York.
14. Round, F. E. (1981). The Ecology of Algae. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.S.A., 653 pp.
15. Fogg, G. E. (1966). The Extracellular Products of Algae. *Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Annu. Rev.*, **4**:195-212.
16. Fogg, G. E. (1971). Extracellular products of algae in freshwater. *Arch. Hydrobiol.*, **5**:1-25.
17. Dussart, B. (1966). Limnologie. L'étude des Eaux Continentales. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 676 pp.
18. Gorham, P. R. (1964). Toxic Algae, In Algae and man. Plenum press, New York.
19. Arnold, D. E. (1971). Injection, assimilation survival and reproduction by *Daphnia pulex* fed on seven species of blue-green algae. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **16**: 906-920.
20. Braginskii, L. P. (1955). Toxicité des Cyanophycées. Priroda, 1:117 (in Russian) (Cited by Pourriot, 1966.)
21. Smirnov, N. N. & Feoktistova, O. I. (1965). Influence of Blue-green Algae on Aquatic Animals and Plants. In: *Ecology and Physiology of Blue-green Algae.*, 212-223.
22. Lefevre, M., Jakob, H. & Nisbet, M. (1952). Auto et hetero-antagonisme chez les algues d'eau douce in vitro et dans les collections d'eaux naturelles. *Ann. Stn. Cent. Hydrobiol. Appl.*, **4**, 5-198,
23. Gorham, P. R. (1960). Toxic Waterblooms of Blue-Green Algae. *Can. Vet. J.*, **1**(6):235-245.
24. Hephher, B. (1962a). Primary production in fish ponds and its application to fertilization experiments. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **7**, 131-135,
25. Hall, D. J., Cooper, W.E. & Werner, E. C. (1970). An experimental approach to the production dynamics and structure of freshwater animal communities. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **15**, 839-928.
26. Boyd, C. E. (1973). Summer algal communities and primary productivity in fish ponds. *Hydrobiologica*, **41**, 357-390.